



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Mark D. McSwain
IBM ALMADEN RESEARCH CENTER, IP LAW DEPT.
650 Harry Road CHTA/J2B
San Jose, CA 95120

COPY MAILED

MAY 04 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of	:	
Dirk Husemann et al	:	DECISION
Application No. 09/843,968	:	ON PETITION
Filed: April 25, 2001	:	37 CFR 1.137(b)
Attorney Docket No. CH9-2000-0021	:	

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed January 6, 2005, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned, by operation of law, for failure to timely reply within the three month shortened statutory period set in the non-final Office Action mailed June 17, 2003. No extensions of time have been obtained pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR §1.136(a). The date of abandonment of this application is September 18, 2003.

The petition satisfies the conditions for revival pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR §1.137(b) in that (1) the reply (amendment); (2) the petition fee of \$1500.00; and (3) the required statement of unintentional delay have been received. Accordingly, the reply to the non-final Office Action mailed June 17, 2003 is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. If the person signing the instant petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the instant petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address currently of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that the delay was intentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Stephen Cronin at (571) 272-6051, or in his absence, the undersigned at (571) 272-3217.

The application file is being referred to Technology Center AU 1648 for appropriate action on the concurrently filed amendment.



Brian Hearn
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions
Office of the Deputy Commissioner
for Patent Examination Policy

CC: Richard M. Goldman
371 Elan Village Lane, Suite 208
San Jose, CA 95134